

Technical Note

Details on Impulse Response Estimation and Size Determination

Corentin Lubeigt, Lorenzo Ortega, Jordi Vilà-Valls and Eric Chaumette

May 3, 2023

1 Derivation of Convolution Terms Using the Fourier Transform Properties

Let $s(t)$ be a band-limited signal of bandwidth smaller than B sampled at frequency $F_s = 1/T_s$ over $N = N_2 - N_1$ samples. The aim of this note is to provide details on the evaluation of the following integral terms, for $(p, q) \in [1, P]^2$,

$$[\mathbf{W}_1^\delta]_{p,q} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} s(t - \tau_p) s(t - \tau_q)^* dt \quad (1)$$

$$[\mathbf{W}_2^\delta]_{p,q} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (t - \tau_p) s(t - \tau_p) s(t - \tau_q)^* dt \quad (2)$$

$$[\mathbf{W}_3^\delta]_{p,q} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} s_p^{(1)}(t - \tau_p) s(t - \tau_q)^* dt \quad (3)$$

$$[\mathbf{W}_4^\delta]_{p,q} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (t - \tau_q) s^{(1)}(t - \tau_p) s(t - \tau_q)^* dt \quad (4)$$

$$[\mathbf{W}_{2,2}^\delta]_{p,q} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (t - \tau_p)(t - \tau_q) s(t - \tau_p) s(t - \tau_q) dt \quad (5)$$

$$[\mathbf{W}_{3,3}^\delta]_{p,q} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} s^{(1)}(t - \tau_p) s^{(1)}(t - \tau_q) dt \quad (6)$$

where $\tau_p = \tau + (p - 1)T_s$, $\tau_q = \tau + (q - 1)T_s$ and τ are time delays, f_c is carrier frequency and the superscript $^{(1)}$ refers to the first time derivative of signal $s(t)$.

1.1 Prior Considerations

First the Fourier transform of a set of functions are to be evaluated. Remembering that the signal is band-limited of band $B \leq F_s$, one has:

$$s(t) \Leftrightarrow \text{FT} \{s(t)\} (f) \triangleq S(f) = \left(\frac{1}{F_s} \sum_{n=N_1}^{N_2} s(nT_s) e^{-j2\pi f n T_s} \right) 1_{[-\frac{F_s}{2}, \frac{F_s}{2}]} \quad (7)$$

A first expression is a simple application of the time shift relation when using the Fourier transform of a delayed signal:

$$(t - \tau)s(t - \tau) = ts(t - \tau) - \tau s(t - \tau) \quad (8)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{FT} \{(t - \tau)s(t - \tau)\} &= \frac{j}{2\pi} \frac{\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{d}f} (S(f)e^{-j2\pi f\tau}) - \tau S(f)e^{-j2\pi f\tau} \\ &= \frac{j}{2\pi} \frac{\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{d}f} (S(f))e^{-j2\pi f\tau} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Besides, with the superscript ⁽¹⁾ referring to the first time derivative,

$$\mathbf{FT} \{s^{(1)}(t - \tau)\} = j2\pi f S(f)e^{-j2\pi f\tau} \quad (10)$$

1.2 Coefficients of \mathbf{W}^δ

1.2.1 Matrix \mathbf{W}_1^δ

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{W}_1^\delta]_{p,q} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} s(t - \tau_p)s(t - \tau_q)^* \mathbf{d}t \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} s(u - (p - q)T_s)s(u)^* \mathbf{d}u \\ &= \int_{-\frac{F_s}{2}}^{\frac{F_s}{2}} S(f)e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)T_s} S(f)^* \mathbf{d}f, \end{aligned}$$

and, using the sum definition of the Fourier transform (7) as a matrices product,

$$[\mathbf{W}_1^\delta]_{p,q} = \frac{1}{F_s} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{s}^T \boldsymbol{\nu}(f))^* e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)} (\mathbf{s}^H \boldsymbol{\nu}(f)) \mathbf{d}f.$$

Hence

$$\boxed{[\mathbf{W}_1^\delta]_{p,q} = \frac{1}{F_s} \mathbf{s}^H \mathbf{V}^{\Delta,0}(p - q) \mathbf{s}} \quad (11)$$

with

$$\mathbf{s} = \left(\dots s(nT_s) \dots \right)_{N_1 \leq n \leq N_2}^T \quad (12)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}(f) = \left(\dots e^{j2\pi f n} \dots \right)_{N_1 \leq n \leq N_2}^T \quad (13)$$

$$\mathbf{V}^{\Delta,0}(n) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\nu}(f) \boldsymbol{\nu}^H(f) e^{-j2\pi f n} \mathbf{d}f \quad (14)$$

$$[\mathbf{V}^{\Delta,0}(n)]_{k,l} = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{j2\pi f(k-l-n)} \mathbf{d}f = \text{sinc}(k - l - n) = \begin{cases} 1 & k - l = n, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

1.2.2 Matrix \mathbf{W}_2^δ

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{W}_2^\delta]_{p,q} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (t - \tau_p) s(t - \tau_p) s(t - \tau_q)^* dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \underbrace{(u - (p - q)T_s) s(u - (p - q)T_s)}_{(9)} s(u)^* du \\
&= \int_{-\frac{F_s}{2}}^{\frac{F_s}{2}} \frac{j}{2\pi} \frac{d}{df} (S(f)) e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)T_s} S(f)^* df \\
&= \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\nu}(f)^*) e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)} (\mathbf{s}^H \boldsymbol{\nu}(f)) df \\
&= \frac{1}{F_s^2} \mathbf{s}^H \left(\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\nu}(f) \boldsymbol{\nu}^H(f) e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)} df \right) \mathbf{D} \mathbf{s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\boxed{[\mathbf{W}_2^\delta]_{p,q} = \frac{1}{F_s^2} \mathbf{s}^H \mathbf{V}^{\Delta,0} (p - q) \mathbf{D} \mathbf{s}} \quad (16)$$

with $\mathbf{V}^{\Delta,0}$ defined in (14) and

$$\mathbf{D} = \left(\dots \quad n \quad \dots \right)_{N_1 \leq n \leq N_2}^T \quad (17)$$

1.2.3 Matrix \mathbf{W}_3^δ

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{W}_3^\delta]_{p,q} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} s^{(1)}(t - \tau_p) s(t - \tau_q)^* dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \underbrace{s^{(1)}(u - (p - q)T_s)}_{(10)} s(u)^* du \\
&= \int_{-\frac{F_s}{2}}^{\frac{F_s}{2}} j2\pi f S(f) e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)T_s} S(f)^* df \\
&= \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} j2\pi f (\mathbf{s}^T \boldsymbol{\nu}(f)^*) e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)} (\mathbf{s}^H \boldsymbol{\nu}(f)) df \\
&= \mathbf{s}^H \left(j2\pi \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f \boldsymbol{\nu}(f) \boldsymbol{\nu}^H(f) e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)} df \right) \mathbf{s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\boxed{[\mathbf{W}_3^\delta]_{p,q} = \mathbf{s}^H \mathbf{V}^{\Delta,1} (p - q) \mathbf{s}} \quad (18)$$

with

$$\mathbf{V}^{\Delta,1} (n) = j2\pi \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f \boldsymbol{\nu}(f) \boldsymbol{\nu}^H(f) e^{-j2\pi f n} df \quad (19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{V}^{\Delta,1}(n)]_{k,l} &= j2\pi \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f e^{j2\pi f(k-l-n)} \mathbf{d}f \\
&= \frac{1}{k-l-n} (\cos(\pi(k-l-n)) - \text{sinc}(k-l-n)) \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k-l=n, \\ (-1)^{|k-l-n|}/(k-l-n) & \text{else} \end{cases} \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

1.2.4 Matrix \mathbf{W}_4^δ

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{W}_4^\delta]_{p,q} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (t - \tau_q) s^{(1)}(t - \tau_p) s(t - \tau_q)^* \mathbf{d}t \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \underbrace{s^{(1)}(u - (p - q)T_s)}_{(10)} (us(u))^* \mathbf{d}u \\
&= \int_{-\frac{F_s}{2}}^{\frac{F_s}{2}} j2\pi f S(f) e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)T_s} \left(\frac{j}{2\pi} \frac{\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{d}f} (S(f)) \right)^* \mathbf{d}f \\
&= \frac{1}{F_s} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} j2\pi f (\mathbf{s}^T \boldsymbol{\nu}(f)^*) e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)} (\mathbf{s}^H \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\nu}(f)) \mathbf{d}f \\
&= \frac{1}{F_s} \mathbf{s}^H \mathbf{D} \left(j2\pi \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f \boldsymbol{\nu}(f) \boldsymbol{\nu}^H(f) e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)} \mathbf{d}f \right) \mathbf{s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\boxed{[\mathbf{W}_4^\delta]_{p,q} = \frac{1}{F_s} \mathbf{s}^H \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}^{\Delta,1}(p-q) \mathbf{s}} \tag{21}$$

with \mathbf{D} and $\mathbf{V}^{\Delta,1}$ defined in (17) and (19) respectively.

1.2.5 Matrix $\mathbf{W}_{2,2}^\delta$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{W}_{2,2}^\delta]_{p,q} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (t - \tau_p)(t - \tau_q) s(t - \tau_p) s(t - \tau_q) \mathbf{d}t \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \underbrace{(u - (p - q)T_s) s(u - \Delta\tau)}_{(9)} (us(u))^* \mathbf{d}u \\
&= \int_{-\frac{F_s}{2}}^{\frac{F_s}{2}} \frac{j}{2\pi} \frac{\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{d}f} (S(f)) e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)T_s} \left(\frac{j}{2\pi} \frac{\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{d}f} (S(f)) \right)^* \mathbf{d}f \\
&= \frac{1}{F_s^3} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\nu}(f)^*) e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)} (\mathbf{s}^H \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\nu}(f)) \mathbf{d}f \\
&= \mathbf{s}^H \mathbf{D} \left(\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \boldsymbol{\nu}(f) \boldsymbol{\nu}^H(f) e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)} \mathbf{d}f \right) \mathbf{D} \mathbf{s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\boxed{[\mathbf{W}_{2,2}^\delta]_{p,q} = \mathbf{s}^H \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}^{\Delta,0} (p-q) \mathbf{D} \mathbf{s}} \quad (22)$$

with $\mathbf{V}^{\Delta,0}$ and \mathbf{D} defined in (14) and (17) respectively.

1.2.6 Matrix $\mathbf{W}_{3,3}^\delta$

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{W}_{3,3}^\delta]_{p,q} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} s^{(1)}(t - \tau_p) s^{(1)}(t - \tau_q) dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \underbrace{s^{(1)}(u - (p-q)T_s)}_{(10)} s^{(1)}(u)^* du \\ &= \int_{-\frac{F_s}{2}}^{\frac{F_s}{2}} (j2\pi f S(f) e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)T_s}) (j2\pi f S(f))^* df \\ &= F_s \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} 4\pi^2 f^2 (\mathbf{s}^T \boldsymbol{\nu}(f)^*) e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)} (\mathbf{s}^H \boldsymbol{\nu}(f)) df \\ &= F_s \mathbf{s}^H \left(4\pi^2 \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f^2 \boldsymbol{\nu}(f) \boldsymbol{\nu}^H(f) e^{-j2\pi f(p-q)} df \right) \mathbf{s}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\boxed{[\mathbf{W}_{3,3}^\delta]_{p,q} = F_s \mathbf{s}^H \mathbf{V}^{\Delta,2} (p-q) \mathbf{s}} \quad (23)$$

with

$$\mathbf{V}^{\Delta,2}(n) = 4\pi^2 \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f^2 \boldsymbol{\nu}(f) \boldsymbol{\nu}^H(f) e^{-j2\pi f n} df \quad (24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{V}^{\Delta,2}(n)]_{k,l} &= \pi^2 \text{sinc}(k-l-n) \\ &\quad + 2 \frac{\cos(\pi(k-l-n)) - \text{sinc}(k-l-n)}{(k-l-n)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \pi^2/3 & \text{if } k-l=n, \\ (-1)^{|k-l-n|/2}/(k-l-n)^2 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

2 Details on Orthogonal Projectors Upon Subspaces of a Vector Subspace

Let $\mathbf{A}_M = [\dots, \mathbf{a}_m, \dots]$ for $m \in [1, M]$ a full-rank matrix of M vectors. The projector upon the vector subspace defined by the column of \mathbf{A}_M is defined by $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_M} = \mathbf{A}_M (\mathbf{A}_M^H \mathbf{A}_M)^{-1} \mathbf{A}_M^H$. Considering $\mathbf{A}_M = [\mathbf{A}_{M-1}, \mathbf{a}_m]$ where \mathbf{A}_{M-1} is the matrix \mathbf{A}_M without the m -th column, the aim of the following developments is to decompose this projector into two projectors: one over \mathbf{A}_{M-1} and the other over \mathbf{a}_m . A first approach is to simply separate the two components:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_M} = [\mathbf{A}_{M-1}, \mathbf{a}_m] \left([\mathbf{A}_{M-1}, \mathbf{a}_m]^H [\mathbf{A}_{M-1}, \mathbf{a}_m] \right)^{-1} [\mathbf{A}_{M-1}, \mathbf{a}_m]^H \quad (27)$$

Developing the inverse term,

$$\left([\mathbf{A}_{M-1}, \mathbf{a}_m]^H [\mathbf{A}_{M-1}, \mathbf{a}_m] \right)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1} & \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{a}_m \\ \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1} & \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{a}_m \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_{11} & \mathbf{B}_{12} \\ \mathbf{B}_{21} & \mathbf{B}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (28)$$

By resorting to the block matrix inversion lemma [1, Sec. 9.1],

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} \\ \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{A}_{11} - \mathbf{A}_{12} \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{21})^{-1} & -\mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{12} (\mathbf{A}_{22} - \mathbf{A}_{21} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{12})^{-1} \\ -(\mathbf{A}_{22} - \mathbf{A}_{21} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{12})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{21} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} & (\mathbf{A}_{22} - \mathbf{A}_{21} \mathbf{A}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{12})^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (29)$$

one gets the submatrices, defined in (28):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{11} &= \left(\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1} - \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{a}_m (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{a}_m (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \right) \mathbf{A}_{M-1} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1} \right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{21} &= - \left(\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{a}_m - \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{a}_m \right)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \\ &= - \left(\mathbf{a}_m^H \left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \right) \mathbf{a}_m \right)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \\ &= - \left(\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m \right)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{12} = - \left(\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{a}_m \left(\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{a}_m - \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{a}_m \right)^{-1} \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{22} &= \left(\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{a}_m - \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{a}_m \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\mathbf{a}_m^H \left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \right) \mathbf{a}_m \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m \right)^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Using the PosDef identity [1, eq. (185)] for \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{R} invertible, definite positive matrices and \mathbf{B} :

$$\left(\mathbf{P}^{-1} + \mathbf{B}^H \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}^H \mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{B}^H (\mathbf{B} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{B}^H + \mathbf{R})^{-1} \quad (34)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow - \left(\mathbf{P}^{-1} - \mathbf{B}^H \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}^H \mathbf{R}^{-1} = -\mathbf{P} \mathbf{B}^H (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{B} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{B}^H)^{-1}, \quad (35)$$

for $\mathbf{P} = \left(\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1} \right)^{-1}$, $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{a}_m$ and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1}$, (35) allows to rewrite \mathbf{B}_{12} as:

$$\mathbf{B}_{12} = - \left(\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{a}_m (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \quad (36)$$

Hence, the computation goes on,

$$\left([\mathbf{A}_{M-1}, \mathbf{a}_m]^H [\mathbf{A}_{M-1}, \mathbf{a}_m] \right)^{-1} [\mathbf{A}_{M-1}, \mathbf{a}_m]^H \quad (37)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \left(\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H - \left(\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{a}_m (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \\ - \left(\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m \right)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H + \left(\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m \right)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \end{bmatrix} \quad (38)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_M} &= \mathbf{A}_{M-1} \left((\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H - (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{a}_m (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{a}_m \left(- (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H + (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \right), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

that is, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_M} = \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} + \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{a}_m}$ where,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} &= \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H - \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{a}_m (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{a}_m (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \right) \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{a}_m} &= -\mathbf{a}_m (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H + \mathbf{a}_m (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \\ &= \mathbf{a}_m (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \right) \\ &= \mathbf{a}_m (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

This decomposition is not orthogonal, one cannot show that $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{a}_m} = \mathbf{0}$. Here, the aim is to obtain a decomposition including $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}$, a first step is to project $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{a}_m}$ over this subspace:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{a}_m} &= \left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \right) \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{a}_m} \\ &= \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{a}_m} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \\ &= \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{a}_m} + \left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m \right) \left(\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m \right)^H \left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m \right) \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m \right)^H \\ &= \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{a}_m} + \mathbf{P}_{\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m \right)} \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Hence, $\mathbf{P}_{\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m \right)}$ is orthogonal to the subspace defined by \mathbf{A}_{M-1} , the rest (underbraced in the following expression) should reduce to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}$,

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_M} = \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} + \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{a}_m} = \underbrace{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{a}_m}}_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}} + \mathbf{P}_{\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m \right)}. \quad (43)$$

One can verify this:

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{a}_m} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{M-1} \left((\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp + \underbrace{(\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{a}_m (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp}_{(35)} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{M-1} \left((\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp + \underbrace{(\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{a}_m (\mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{a}_m)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_m^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp}_{(35)} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \right)}_{(35)} \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

This last underbraced term can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp &= \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}) \\
&= \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} \\
&= \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} \\
&= \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{a}_m} \\
&= \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp) \\
&= \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}) \\
&= \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \underbrace{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp}_{=0} + \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} \\
&= \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{a}_m}^\perp \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \\
&= \mathbf{A}_{M-1} (\mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H \mathbf{A}_{M-1})^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{M-1}^H = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Finally, one gets the desired orthogonal decomposition,

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_M} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}} + \mathbf{P}_{(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_{M-1}}^\perp \mathbf{a}_m)} \tag{47}$$

References

- [1] Kaare B. Petersen and Michael S. Pedersen, “The Matrix Cookbook,” Tech. Rep., Technical Univ. Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark, 2012.